

3. Lauer P, Yamamoto H, Kalthoff L, Clain JE, Bakken LJ, DiMagno EP. The different courses of early- and late-onset idiopathic and alcoholic chronic pancreatitis. *Gastroenterology*. 1994;107(5):1481-1487. doi:10.1016/0016-5085(94)90553-3
4. Ammann RW, Akovbiantz A, Largiader F, Schueler G. Course and outcome of chronic pancreatitis. longitudinal study of a mixed medical-surgical series of 245 patients. *Gastroenterology*. 1984;86(5 pt 1):820-828. doi:10.1016/S0016-5085(24)05129-1
5. Cavallini G, Frulloni L, Pederzoli P, et al. Long-term follow-up of patients with chronic pancreatitis in Italy. *Scand J Gastroenterol*. 1998;33(8):880-889. doi:10.1080/00365529850171567
6. Lankisch PG, Lohr-Happe A, Otto J, Creutzfeldt W. Natural course in chronic pancreatitis. pain, exocrine and endocrine pancreatic insufficiency and prognosis of the disease. *Digestion*. 1993;54(3):148-155. doi:10.1159/000201029
7. Bouwense SA, Ahmed Ali U, ten Broek RP, et al. Altered central pain processing after pancreatic surgery for chronic pancreatitis. *Br J Surg*. 2013;100(13):1797-1804. doi:10.1002/bjs.9322
8. Lohr JM, Dominguez-Munoz E, Rosendahl J, et al; HaPanEU/UEG Working Group. United European Gastroenterology evidence-based guidelines for the diagnosis and therapy of chronic pancreatitis (HaPanEU). *United European Gastroenterol J*. 2017;5(2):153-199. doi:10.1177/2050640616684695
9. Kempeneers MA, Issa Y, Ali UA, et al; Working group for the International (IAP - APA - JPS - EPC) Consensus Guidelines for Chronic Pancreatitis. International consensus guidelines for surgery and the timing of intervention in chronic pancreatitis. *Pancreatol*. 2020;20(2):149-157. doi:10.1016/j.pan.2019.12.005
10. Vege SS, Chari ST. Chronic pancreatitis. *N Engl J Med*. 2022;386(9):869-878. doi:10.1056/NEJMcpi1809396
11. Dite P, Ruzicka M, Zboril V, Novotný I. A prospective, randomized trial comparing endoscopic and surgical therapy for chronic pancreatitis. *Endoscopy*. 2003;35(7):553-558. doi:10.1055/s-2003-40237
12. Cahen DL, Gouma DJ, Nio Y, et al. Endoscopic versus surgical drainage of the pancreatic duct in chronic pancreatitis. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;356(7):676-684. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa060610
13. Drewes AM, Kempeneers MA, Andersen DK, et al. Controversies on the endoscopic and surgical management of pain in patients with chronic pancreatitis: pros and cons! *Gut*. 2019;68(8):1343-1351. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2019-318742
14. Singh VK, Yadav D, Garg PK. Diagnosis and management of chronic pancreatitis: a review. *JAMA*. 2019;322(24):2422-2434. doi:10.1001/jama.2019.19411
15. Negi S, Singh A, Chaudhary A. Pain relief after Frey's procedure for chronic pancreatitis. *Br J Surg*. 2010;97(7):1087-1095. doi:10.1002/bjs.7042
16. Croome KP, Tee M, Nagorney DM, et al. Pancreatoduodenectomy for chronic pancreatitis—results of a pain relief and quality of life survey 15 years following operation. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2015;19(12):2146-2153. doi:10.1007/s11605-015-2928-7
17. Kempeneers MA, van Hemert AKE, van der Hoek M, et al. Short- and long-term outcomes of selective use of Frey or extended lateral pancreaticojejunostomy in chronic pancreatitis. *Br J Surg*. 2022;109(4):363-371. doi:10.1093/bjs/znab473
18. Pessaux P, Kianmanesh R, Regimbeau JM, et al. Frey procedure in the treatment of chronic pancreatitis: short-term results. *Pancreas*. 2006;33(4):354-358. doi:10.1097/01.mpa.0000236736.77359.3a
19. Issa Y, Kempeneers MA, Bruno MJ, et al; Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group. Effect of early surgery vs endoscopy-first approach on pain in patients with chronic pancreatitis: the ESCAPE randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2020;323(3):237-247. doi:10.1001/jama.2019.20967
20. Ahmed Ali U, Issa Y, Bruno MJ, et al; Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group. Early surgery versus optimal current step-up practice for chronic pancreatitis (ESCAPE): design and rationale of a randomized trial. *BMC Gastroenterol*. 2013;13:49. doi:10.1186/1471-230X-13-49
21. Izbicki JR, Bloechle C, Broering DC, Kuechler T, Broelsch CE. Longitudinal V-shaped excision of the ventral pancreas for small duct disease in severe chronic pancreatitis: prospective evaluation of a new surgical procedure. *Ann Surg*. 2008;227(2):213-219. doi:10.1097/0000658-199802000-00010
22. Ware JE Jr, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. conceptual framework and item selection. *Med Care*. 1992;30(6):473-483. doi:10.1097/0000658-199206000-00002
23. World Medical Association. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2001;79(4):373-374.
24. Cahen DL, Gouma DJ, Laramée P, et al. Long-term outcomes of endoscopic vs surgical drainage of the pancreatic duct in patients with chronic pancreatitis. *Gastroenterology*. 2011;141(5):1690-1695. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2011.07.049
25. Kempeneers MA, Issa Y, Bruno MJ, et al; Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group. Cost-effectiveness of early surgery versus endoscopy-first approach for painful chronic pancreatitis in the ESCAPE trial. *Ann Surg*. 2023;277(4):e878-e884. doi:10.1097/SLA.0000000000005240
26. Rutter K, Ferlitsch A, Sautner T, et al. Hospitalization, frequency of interventions, and quality of life after endoscopic, surgical, or conservative treatment in patients with chronic pancreatitis. *World J Surg*. 2010;34(11):2642-2647. doi:10.1007/s00268-010-0713-z
27. Strobel O, Büchler MW, Werner J. Surgical therapy of chronic pancreatitis: indications, techniques and results. *Int J Surg*. 2009;7(4):305-312. doi:10.1016/j.ijsu.2009.05.011
28. Dumonceau JM, Delhaye M, Tringali A, et al. Endoscopic treatment of chronic pancreatitis: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline - updated August 2018. *Endoscopy*. 2019;51(2):179-193. doi:10.1055/a-0822-0832
29. Bouwense SAW, Kempeneers MA, van Santvoort HC, Boermeester MA, van Goor H, Besselink MG. Surgery in chronic pancreatitis: indication, timing and procedures. *Visc Med*. 2019;35(2):110-118. doi:10.1159/000499612
30. Seicean A, Tantău M, Grigorescu M, Mocan T, Seicean R, Pop T. Mortality risk factors in chronic pancreatitis. *J Gastrointest Liver Dis*. 2006;15(1):21-26.
31. Wilson GC, Turner KM, Delman AM, et al. Long-term survival outcomes after operative management of chronic pancreatitis: two decades of experience. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2023;236(4):601-610. doi:10.1097/XCS.0000000000000575
32. Kempeneers MA, Issa Y, Verdonk RC, et al; Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group. Pain patterns in chronic pancreatitis: a nationwide longitudinal cohort study. *Gut*. 2021;70(9):1724-1733. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2020-322117

Invited Commentary

Surgery-First for Painful Chronic Pancreatitis

Ville Sallinen, MD, PhD; Arto Kokkola, MD, PhD; Pauli Puolakkainen, MD, PhD

The treatment of painful chronic pancreatitis (CP) with a dilated pancreatic duct has often included early endoscopy to decompress the duct by stents, while surgery has been saved as a last resort. However, recently at least 3 randomized clinical trials have challenged this step-up approach and demonstrated superiority of early surgery over first-line endoscopy.¹⁻³ Yet, clinical practice and guideline recommendations seem to be slow to reflect this change in evidence.^{4,5} As endoscopic procedures are usually performed by gastroenterologists (and surgery obviously by surgeons), the debate between the 2 first-line treatment options is also a debate between the specialties, both looking at the

evidence from their own perspectives and incentives. As Abraham Maslow wrote: “It is tempting, if the only tool you have is a hammer, to treat everything as if it were a nail.”

In this issue of *JAMA Surgery*, Van Veldhuisen et al⁶ report long-term outcomes of the ESCAPE trial. The results strongly support early surgery in treating painful CP as patients who had surgery first had lower pain scores, higher rate of complete pain relief, increased satisfaction, less reinterventions, and similar rates of exocrine and endocrine insufficiency. The initial ESCAPE trial,³ with 18 months follow-up, did not find a clear benefit of surgery compared with patients in whom complete ductal clearance was achieved using endoscopic means. This might have affected the broad perception

that endoscopy could still be a first-line approach. As perhaps the most important finding of this long-term follow-up study, surgery was still superior to endoscopy even if ductal clearance was achieved, let alone if the endoscopy failed.

Although we congratulate the authors,⁶ some limitations of the study should be noted. First, the long-term follow-up was not predetermined or structured, leading to varying time points of data collection. Second, the recruitment period was extensively long, 7.5 years in 30 hospitals. With 88 randomized patients, this means that, on average, each hospital recruited 1 patient every other year. The question remains whether this indicates low incidence of CP in the Netherlands or high selection of patients in the trial. In any case, results from low-

volume endoscopic units might not be applicable to high-volume dedicated advanced endoscopy units with high caseloads of pancreatic duct interventions.⁷ Furthermore, the current study only partially takes into account the most recent developments in endoscopic techniques. Lastly, a relatively large number of patients undergoing early surgery required reintervention for biliary obstruction. The initial surgical technique should be accommodated with this in mind.

Now, 3 randomized clinical trials report benefit of surgery-first over endoscopic approach in treating painful CP. There's a famous Einstein quote: "Insanity is doing the same thing over and over and expecting different results." What is needed now is clinical implementation of the evidence.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Author Affiliations: Gastroenterological Surgery, Helsinki University Hospital and University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland (Sallinen, Kokkola, Puolakkainen); Transplantation and Liver Surgery, Helsinki University Hospital and University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland (Sallinen).

Corresponding Author: Pauli Puolakkainen, MD, PhD, Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, Bldg 7 (PAKE), 3rd Floor, Room PA3.28, PO Box 440 (Stenbackinkatu 9A, Helsinki), 00029 HUS, Finland (pauli.puolakkainen@helsinki.fi; pauli.puolakkainen@hus.fi).

Published Online: November 20, 2024.
doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2024.5181

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

REFERENCES

1. Dite P, Ružicka M, Zboril V, Novotný I. A prospective, randomized trial comparing endoscopic and surgical therapy for chronic pancreatitis. *Endoscopy*. 2003;35(7):553-558. doi:10.1055/s-2003-40237
2. Cahen DL, Gouma DJ, Nio Y, et al. Endoscopic versus surgical drainage of the pancreatic duct in chronic pancreatitis. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;356(7):676-684. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa060610
3. Issa Y, Kempeneers MA, Bruno MJ, et al; Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group. Effect of early surgery vs endoscopy-first approach on pain in patients with chronic pancreatitis: the ESCAPE randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2020;323(3):237-247. doi:10.1001/jama.2019.20967
4. Gardner TB, Adler DG, Forsmark CE, Sauer BG, Taylor JR, Whitcomb DC. ACG Clinical Guideline: Chronic Pancreatitis. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2020;115(3):322-339. doi:10.14309/ajg.0000000000000535
5. Kempeneers MA, Issa Y, Ali UA, et al; Working group for the International (IAP - APA - JPS - EPC) Consensus Guidelines for Chronic Pancreatitis. International consensus guidelines for surgery and the timing of intervention in chronic pancreatitis. *Pancreatol*. 2020;20(2):149-157. doi:10.1016/j.pan.2019.12.005
6. Van Veldhuisen CL, Kempeneers MA, De Rijk FEM, et al; the Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group. Long-term outcomes of early surgery vs endoscopy first in chronic pancreatitis: follow-up analysis of the ESCAPE randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Surg*. Published online November 20, 2024. doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2024.5182
7. Udd M, Kylänpää L, Kokkola A. The role of endoscopic and surgical treatment in chronic pancreatitis. *Scand J Surg*. 2020;109(1):69-78. doi:10.1177/1457496920910009