

## 32

## Endoscopic Treatment of Acute Biliary Pancreatitis

Ichiro Yasuda<sup>1</sup>, Tsuyoshi Mukai<sup>2</sup>, and Toru Ito<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Third Department of Internal Medicine, University of Toyama, Toyama, Japan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Gastroenterological Endoscopy, Kanazawa Medical University, Ishikawa, Japan

### Pathogenesis of Acute Biliary Pancreatitis

Acute biliary pancreatitis (ABP) is caused by pancreatic duct obstruction mainly due to bile duct stones. Once a bile duct stone is impacted at the common channel or distal end of the bile duct, pancreatic duct outflow is obstructed directly or by the compression of the pancreatobiliary septum. Previous reports showed that bile duct stone impaction was found in 26–72% of patients who had ABP when surgery was performed soon after the attack [1]. Spontaneous passage of bile duct stones into the duodenum has been described in up to 50% of ABP cases [2,3]. Sphincter of Oddi spasm might be another cause of ABP. Therefore, the diagnosis of ABP is not always easy at the time of diagnosis of pancreatitis.

Biliary pancreatitis, as well as alcoholic pancreatitis, is a major etiology of acute pancreatitis. ABP accounts for 20–71.4% of cases of acute pancreatitis, but the rate varies across countries. Biliary pancreatitis is more common than alcoholic pancreatitis in Greece, Italy, the United Kingdom, Sweden, and the United States of America, whereas alcoholic pancreatitis is the most major cause in Hungary, France, Taiwan, Korea, and Japan [4–9].

### Diagnosis


In addition to the increased levels of serum pancreatic enzymes, such as amylase and lipase, increased levels of hepatobiliary enzymes and bilirubin suggest the possibility of ABP. In such cases, imaging tests are strongly recommended for diagnosis. Although transabdominal ultrasound is the most convenient imaging modality, the extrahepatic bile duct is often difficult to visualize clearly due to the retention of gastrointestinal gas, especially in

patients with acute pancreatitis. Abdominal computed tomography (CT) is also relatively convenient and has high sensitivity in detecting calcified stones (Fig. 32.1), but its sensitivity to detect small stones lacking calcification is limited. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) may be indicated in highly suspected cases such as those with bile duct dilation and/or cholangitis. However, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) is recommended to be performed before ERCP in such cases because they are safer and more convenient. Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) can provide an image similar to ERCP. It has high sensitivity and specificity in detecting common bile duct stones (more than 90%) [10], but its sensitivity decreases in cases with dilated bile duct and small stones [11]. EUS is recognized as the most reliable imaging modality in detecting bile duct stones [12], has fewer complications, and shows higher sensitivity in detecting small bile duct stones than ERCP [13].

### Indication of Endoscopic Treatment

Endoscopic treatments are indicated for patients with a bile duct stone confirmed on imaging tests or highly suspected from clinical or laboratory findings. In addition, patients with persistent or repeated increasing levels of biliary and pancreatic enzymes are also indicated even if the presence of biliary stone was unclear. In such cases, a dysfunction in the sphincter of Oddi might be a cause of biliary pancreatitis.

The timing of endoscopic treatments is discussed later, but urgent ERCP should be considered when there is evidence of cholangitis and/or ongoing biliary



**Figure 32.1** Computed tomography image of an impacted stone at the duodenal papilla. White arrow indicates an impacted stone. Axial image (a) and multiplanar reconstruction image (b).

obstruction. However, conservative treatments such as fasting, rehydration, and administration of antibiotics should be attempted first in patients with mild clinical symptoms and with mild abnormal laboratory data.

Several previous studies have suggested the utility of EUS in narrowing down eligible patients by showing that a preceding EUS avoided unnecessary ERCP in 71.2–75.4% of patients without increasing the risk of adverse events [13–16].

## Techniques


The best way to treat biliary pancreatitis is the removal of the bile duct stone. Endoscopic sphincterotomy is generally performed for this. ERCP is initially attempted to confirm the stone in the bile duct, and endoscopic sphincterotomy is then performed using a sphincterotome if a stone is detected on cholangiogram. Subsequently, endoscopic stone extraction is performed using a retrieval basket or balloon. If the stone is impacted at the papilla, precut papillotomy using a needle knife would be preferred to conventional endoscopic sphincterotomy owing to the difficulty in cannulation into the bile duct in such cases (Fig. 32.2).

Endoscopic sphincterotomy with subsequent stone extraction is currently a well-established technique with a high success rate (approximately 90%) [17]. However, procedure-related adverse events, including pancreatitis,

hemorrhage, perforation, and cholangitis, can occur in approximately 10% of the cases [18]. Aggravation of pancreatitis is a particularly considerable concern in patients with pancreatitis. Therefore, cannulation and contrast medium injection into the pancreatic duct should be avoided as much as possible; however, there is no evidence that accidental cannulation into the pancreatic duct affects the clinical course or outcome adversely. Recently, the efficacy of pancreatic duct stenting was suggested in ABP following endoscopic sphincterotomy. In a nonrandomized study, complications were less frequent in the pancreatic duct stent group than in the control group without pancreatic duct stent (9.86% vs. 31.43%,  $P < 0.002$ ) [19]. However, so far, there is no significant evidence to recommend pancreatic duct stenting after endoscopic treatment for ABP.

## Outcomes and Timing of Endoscopic Interventions

Endoscopic treatments for ABP were initially described in 1981 [20,21]. Since then, a number of prospective randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have compared early endoscopic treatments with conservative therapy for ABP. However, as several clinical trials and meta-analyses have provided conflicting evidence, the role and timing of endoscopic intervention in ABP remain controversial.



**Figure 32.2** Endoscopic view of an impacted stone at the duodenal papilla. A stone is impacting at the biliary orifice (a). Needle knife papillotomy is preferably performed in such a case. Whitish pus is discharged after cutting up the papilla from the orifice using a needle knife (b).

Two early RCTs showed lower complication rates, shorter hospital stays, and lower mortality rate in the urgent ERCP group than in the conservative group [22,23]. However, another RCT showed that the overall rate of complications was similar in the two groups, and patients in the early ERCP group had more severe complications [24]. The first meta-analysis published in 1999 showed a high success rate of ERCP (92%) and concluded that early ERCP significantly reduced morbidity (25.0% vs. 38.2%,  $P < 0.001$ ) and mortality (5.2% vs. 9.1%,  $P < 0.05$ ) in ABP [25]. However, later studies suggested that early endoscopic intervention was beneficial in further limited patients.

Several studies have concluded that urgent endoscopic intervention should be considered only in patients with severe biliary pancreatitis [26–28]. In a meta-analysis by Ayub et al. [27], early endoscopic intervention was associated with significant reduction in complications only in predicted severe biliary pancreatitis (odds ratio [OR] 0.27, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.14 to 0.53), whereas reduction of mortality was not significant in both predicted mild and severe biliary pancreatitis. Later, a meta-analysis by Moretti et al. [28] also reported that a significant difference in the pooled rate for complications was found only in predicted severe pancreatitis (38.5%, 95% CI –53% to –23.9%,  $P < 0.0001$ ).

Meanwhile, several other studies have suggested that the benefit of urgent endoscopic intervention was

expected only in cases with cholangitis or cholestasis [29–34]. Petrov et al. [29] reviewed RCTs on early endoscopic intervention versus conservative management in patients with ABP without acute cholangitis. They found that early endoscopic intervention in patients with predicted mild and predicted severe biliary pancreatitis did not lead to a significant reduction in the risk of overall complications and mortality. Later, Van Santvoort et al. [35] conducted a prospective, observational multi-center study including patients with predicted severe ABP without cholangitis. They analyzed the outcomes in patients without and with cholestasis separately and noted that endoscopic intervention was associated with fewer complications as compared with conservative treatment in patients with cholestasis (25% vs. 54%,  $P = 0.020$ ), whereas it was not associated with reduced complications (45% vs. 41%,  $P = 0.814$ ) in patients without cholestasis. A Cochrane review by Tse and Yuan [32] in 2012 showed no evidence that early routine ERCP significantly affected mortality or local/systemic complications of pancreatitis, regardless of predicted severity. However, among trials that included patients with cholangitis, the early routine ERCP strategy significantly reduced mortality and local and systemic complications. In addition, among trials that included patients with biliary obstruction, early routine ERCP strategy was associated with a significant reduction in local and systemic complications. Finally, they concluded that early

ERCP should be considered only in patients with coexisting cholangitis or biliary obstruction. A systematic review [36] including 8 meta-analyses and 12 guidelines documents a consensus among them regarding indication for early endoscopic intervention is indicated only in ABP patients with coexisting cholangitis and/or persistent cholestasis. In addition, most recently, Schepers et al. [37] assessed urgent ERCP with sphincterotomy versus conservative treatment in predicted severe acute biliary pancreatitis without cholangitis in a multicenter RCT. They recorded no relevant differences in a composite of mortality or major complications (new-onset persistent organ failure, cholangitis, bacteremia, pneumonia, pancreatic necrosis, or pancreatic insufficiency) within 6 months between the groups (38% vs. 44%,  $P=0.37$ ).

In summary, urgent ERCP is not necessary in mild acute biliary pancreatitis. Moreover, it can also be avoided even in severe acute biliary pancreatitis when cholangitis or persistent cholestasis is not coexistent.

## Cholecystectomy After Endoscopic Treatment

Recurrence rates of ABP of up to 61% have been reported in the absence of definitive treatment [38,39]. Therefore, subsequent cholecystectomy after endoscopic treatment of bile duct stones has been recommended to prevent

recurrent attacks of ABP [40,41], although 25–50% of patients do not undergo cholecystectomy for various reasons [42–44]. The Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group assessed the timing of cholecystectomy after mild biliary pancreatitis in a systematic review including 8 cohort studies and 1 RCT (998 patients). In this cohort, cholecystectomy was performed during index admission in 48% of the cases and interval cholecystectomy was performed in 52% of the cases after a median duration of 40 days (interquartile range: 19–58 days). Before interval cholecystectomy, 18% of the patients were readmitted for recurrent biliary events such as pancreatitis, cholecystitis, and biliary colics. Therefore, cholecystectomy during index admission for mild biliary pancreatitis appeared safe [45]. Conversely, in cases of severe ABP, the current consensus is to postpone cholecystectomy until after resolution of local or systemic complications [39–41,46]. Most recently, the Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group assessed the optimal timing of cholecystectomy after severe ABP (necrotizing biliary pancreatitis) in a post hoc analysis of a multicenter prospective cohort. It was found that the risk of overall recurrent biliary events prior to cholecystectomy was significantly lower up to 10 weeks after discharge (risk ratio [RR] 0.49, 95% CI 0.27 to 0.90,  $P=0.02$ ). The risk of recurrent pancreatitis before cholecystectomy was significantly lower up to 8 weeks after discharge. Therefore, they concluded that the optimal timing of cholecystectomy was within 8 weeks after discharge (RR 0.14, 95% CI 0.02 to 1.0,  $P=0.02$ ).<sup>47</sup>

## References

- 1 Kuo VC, Tarnasky PR. Endoscopic management of acute biliary pancreatitis. *Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am* 2013;23:749–768.
- 2 Frossard JL, Hadengue A, Amouyal G et al. Choledocholithiasis: a prospective study of spontaneous common bile duct stone migration. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2000;51:175–179.
- 3 Cavdar F, Yildar M, Tellioglu G et al. Controversial issues in biliary pancreatitis: when should we perform MRCP and ERCP? *Pancreatol* 2014;14:411–414.
- 4 Lankisch PG, Assmus C, Maisonneuve P et al. Epidemiology of pancreatic diseases in Luneburg County. A study in a defined German population. *Pancreatol* 2002;2:469–477.
- 5 Gullo L, Migliori M, Olah A et al. Acute pancreatitis in five European countries: etiology and mortality. *Pancreas* 2002;24:223–227.
- 6 Cavallini G, Frulloni L, Bassi C et al. Prospective multicentre survey on acute pancreatitis in Italy (ProInf-AISP): results on 1005 patients. *Dig Liver Dis* 2004;36:205–211.
- 7 Andersson R, Andersson B, Haraldsen P et al. Incidence, management and recurrence rate of acute pancreatitis. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2004;39:891–894.
- 8 Chen CH, Dai CY, Hou NJ et al. Etiology, severity and recurrence of acute pancreatitis in southern Taiwan. *J Formos Med Assoc* 2006;105:550–555.
- 9 Frey CF, Zhou H, Harvey DJ et al. The incidence and case-fatality rates of acute biliary, alcoholic, and idiopathic pancreatitis in California, 1994–2001. *Pancreas* 2006;33:336–344.
- 10 Romagnuolo J, Bardou M, Rahme E et al. Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography: a meta-analysis of test performance in suspected biliary disease. *Ann Intern Med* 2003;139:547–557.
- 11 Moon JH, Cho YD, Cha SW et al. The detection of bile duct stones in suspected biliary pancreatitis: comparison of MRCP, ERCP, and intraductal US. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2005;100:1051–1057.
- 12 Verma D, Kapadia A, Eisen GM et al. EUS vs MRCP for detection of choledocholithiasis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2006;64:248–254.

- 13 Liu CL, Fan ST, Lo CM et al. Comparison of early endoscopic ultrasonography and endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in the management of acute biliary pancreatitis: a prospective randomized study. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2005;3:1238–1244.
- 14 Polkowski M, Regula J, Tilszer A et al. Endoscopic ultrasound versus endoscopic retrograde cholangiography for patients with intermediate probability of bile duct stones: a randomized trial comparing two management strategies. *Endoscopy* 2007;39:296–303.
- 15 Lee YT, Chan FK, Leung WK et al. Comparison of EUS and ERCP in the investigation with suspected biliary obstruction caused by choledocholithiasis: a randomized study. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2008;67:660–668.
- 16 De Lisi S, Leandro G, Buscarini E. Endoscopic ultrasonography versus endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in acute biliary pancreatitis: a systematic review. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2011;23:367–374.
- 17 Yasuda I, Itoi T. Recent advances in endoscopic management of difficult bile duct stones. *Dig Endosc* 2013;25:376–385.
- 18 Freeman ML, Nelson DB, Sherman S et al. Complications of endoscopic biliary sphincterotomy. *N Engl J Med* 1996;335:909–918.
- 19 Dubravcsik Z, Hritz I, Fejes R et al. Early ERCP and biliary sphincterotomy with or without small-caliber pancreatic stent insertion in patients with acute biliary pancreatitis: better overall outcome with adequate pancreatic drainage. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2012;47:729–736.
- 20 van der Spuy S. Endoscopic sphincterotomy in the management of gallstone pancreatitis. *Endoscopy* 1981;13:25–26.
- 21 Safrany L, Cotton PB. A preliminary report: urgent duodenoscopic sphincterotomy for acute gallstone pancreatitis. *Surgery* 1981;89:424–428.
- 22 Neoptolemos JP, Carr-Locke DL, London NJ et al. Controlled trial of urgent endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography and endoscopic sphincterotomy versus conservative treatment for acute pancreatitis due to gallstones. *Lancet* 1988;2:979–983.
- 23 Fan ST, Lai EC, Mok FP et al. Early treatment of acute biliary pancreatitis by endoscopic papillotomy. *N Engl J Med* 1993;328:228–232.
- 24 Folsch UR, Nitsche R, Ludtke R et al. Early ERCP and papillotomy compared with conservative treatment for acute biliary pancreatitis. The German Study Group on Acute Biliary Pancreatitis. *N Engl J Med* 1997;336:237–242.
- 25 Sharma VK, Howden CW. Metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials of endoscopic retrograde cholangiography and endoscopic sphincterotomy for the treatment of acute biliary pancreatitis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1999;94:3211–3224.
- 26 Heinrich S, Schafer M, Rousson V et al. Evidence-based treatment of acute pancreatitis: a look at established paradigms. *Ann Surg* 2006;243:154–168.
- 27 Ayub K, Imada R, Slavin J. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in gallstone-associated acute pancreatitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2004:CD003630.
- 28 Moretti A, Papi C, Aratari A et al. Is early endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography useful in the management of acute biliary pancreatitis? A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Dig Liver Dis* 2008;40:379–385.
- 29 Petrov MS. Early use of ERCP in acute biliary pancreatitis with(out) jaundice: an unjaundiced view. *JOP* 2009;10:1–7.
- 30 Uy MC, Daez ML, Sy PP et al. Early ERCP in acute gallstone pancreatitis without cholangitis: a meta-analysis. *JOP* 2009;10:299–305.
- 31 Yang P, Feng KX, Luo H et al. Acute biliary pancreatitis treated by early endoscopic intervention. *Panminerva Med* 2012;54:65–69.
- 32 Tse F, Yuan Y. Early routine endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography strategy versus early conservative management strategy in acute gallstone pancreatitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;5:CD009779.
- 33 Acosta JM, Katkhouda N, Debian KA et al. Early ductal decompression versus conservative management for gallstone pancreatitis with ampullary obstruction: a prospective randomized clinical trial. *Ann Surg* 2006;243:33–40.
- 34 Oria A, Cimmino D, Ocampo C et al. Early endoscopic intervention versus early conservative management in patients with acute gallstone pancreatitis and biliopancreatic obstruction: a randomized clinical trial. *Ann Surg* 2007;245:10–17.
- 35 van Santvoort HC, Besselink MG, de Vries AC et al. Early endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in predicted severe acute biliary pancreatitis: a prospective multicenter study. *Ann Surg* 2009;250:68–75.
- 36 van Geenen EJ, van Santvoort HC, Besselink MG et al. Lack of consensus on the role of endoscopic retrograde cholangiography in acute biliary pancreatitis in published meta-analyses and guidelines: a systematic review. *Pancreas* 2013;42:774–780.
- 37 Schepers NJ, Hallensleben NDL, Besselink MG et al. Urgent endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography with sphincterotomy versus conservative treatment in predicted severe acute gallstone pancreatitis (APEC): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2020;396:167–176.
- 38 Alimoglu O, Ozkan OV, Sahin M et al. Timing of cholecystectomy for acute biliary pancreatitis: outcomes of cholecystectomy on first admission and after recurrent biliary pancreatitis. *World J Surg* 2003;27:256–259.
- 39 da Costa DW, Schepers NJ, Romkens TE et al. Endoscopic sphincterotomy and cholecystectomy in acute biliary pancreatitis. *Surgeon* 2016;14:99–108.
- 40 Tenner S, Baillie J, DeWitt J et al. American College of Gastroenterology guideline: management of acute pancreatitis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2013;108:1400–1415; 1416.

- 41 Working Group IAP/APA Acute Pancreatitis Guidelines. IAP/APA evidence-based guidelines for the management of acute pancreatitis. *Pancreatology* 2013;13:e1–15.
- 42 Hwang SS, Li BH, Haigh PI. Gallstone pancreatitis without cholecystectomy. *JAMA Surg* 2013;148:867–872.
- 43 El-Dhuwaib Y, Deakin M, David GG et al. Definitive management of gallstone pancreatitis in England. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 2012;94:402–406.
- 44 Nguyen GC, Tuskey A, Jagannath SB. Racial disparities in cholecystectomy rates during hospitalizations for acute gallstone pancreatitis: a national survey. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2008;103:2301–2307.
- 45 van Baal MC, Besselink MG, Bakker OJ et al. Timing of cholecystectomy after mild biliary pancreatitis: a systematic review. *Ann Surg* 2012;255:860–866.
- 46 Working Party of the British Society of Gastroenterology, Association of Surgeons of Great Britain & Ireland et al. UK guidelines for the management of acute pancreatitis. *Gut* 2005;54(Suppl 3):iii1–9.
- 47 Hallensleben ND, Timmerhuis HC, Hollemans RA et al. Optimal timing of cholecystectomy after necrotising biliary pancreatitis. *Gut* 2022;71:974–982.