



Gastroesophageal reflux in the 21st century

David Forbes^{a,b}, Alicia Lim^a, and Madhur Ravikumara^a

Purpose of review

Gastroesophageal reflux (GER) remains a common, challenging problem for clinicians, with differentiation of normal development from disease a particular issue. This review updates clinicians on advances in diagnosis of GER, relationship to other problems, and current practice in management.

Recent findings

Development and understanding of multichannel intraluminal impedance-pH monitoring has given insights into the relationship of GER to symptoms. Medical treatment has changed little. Avoidance of overmedicalizing normal development is the major issue for clinicians. Laparoscopic fundoplication is established as equivalent to open fundoplication. Newer endoscopic techniques have only limited use in children to date.

Summary

Major changes in pediatric GER relate to understanding of physiology and relationship of GER to symptoms. The major challenge for clinicians involve differentiation of normal from abnormal GER, and applying the most relevant management.

Keywords

gastroesophageal reflux, gastroesophageal reflux disease, multichannel intraluminal impedance-pH monitoring, overuse of medication

INTRODUCTION

Gastroesophageal reflux (GER) remains a clinical challenge. It is common and a significant burden. In the USA, GER disease (GERD) is the leading primary care gastrointestinal diagnosis, and the commonest reason for upper gastrointestinal (UGI) endoscopy [1[•]]. A nationwide Swedish working-age adults study identified GER symptoms as a common cause of work absences [2[•]].

BURDEN

There are few pediatric-specific data on GER and GERD prevalence. French researchers estimated that 10% of all children had GER and 6% experienced GERD [3^{••}]. A register of 10 394 patients seen by general practitioners and pediatricians documented the occurrence of GER or GERD. Extrapolating the findings to reflect population demographics, it was estimated that 24.4% of infants aged 0–23 months, 7.2% of children 2–11 years, and 10.7% of adolescents aged 12–17 years had symptoms of GER, and GERD was present in 12.6% of infants, 4.1% of 2–11-year-olds, and 7.6% of 12–17-year-olds [3^{••}].

Drug prescription is an indirect measure of disease prevalence. In the USA, off-label proton pump

inhibitor (PPI) prescriptions account for 1.6% of prescriptions for infants 0–23 months of age [4[•]]. A claims database incorporating 1.3 million children documented a 12.3% rate of diagnosis of GERD in infants 0–1 year of age, and a 0.93–1.26% incidence rate for other pediatric age groups [5].

GASTROESOPHAGEAL REFLUX AND GASTROESOPHAGEAL REFLUX DISEASE

Differentiation of GER and GERD is an important issue. An international consensus statement notes that, with person-centered, symptom-based criteria, the definition of GERD as ‘troublesome GER symptoms or complications’ frequently relies upon parental perceptions and anxiety, which do not

^aDepartment of Gastroenterology, Princess Margaret Hospital for Children and ^bSchool of Paediatrics & Child Health, University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia, Australia

Correspondence to David Forbes, MBBS, FRACP, Princess Margaret Hospital for Children, GPO Box D184, Perth, WA, Australia 6840. Tel: +61 8 93408122; fax: +61 8 93882097; e-mail: david.forbes@uwa.edu.au

Curr Opin Pediatr 2013, 25:597–603

DOI:10.1097/MOP.0b013e328363ecf5

KEY POINTS

- GER is a normal physiological and behavioral event, which rarely persists and evolves to GERD.
- Multichannel intraluminal impedance-pH monitoring has increased ability to recognize relationship of symptoms to GER.
- Physicians contribute to demand for unnecessary treatment.
- Laparoscopic fundoplication achieves equivalent results to open fundoplication.
- New endoscopic antireflux surgery has potential in children, but requires further assessment.

always reflect symptom severity or disability, and this definition is unreliable under the age of 8 years [6].

PRESENTATION AND DIAGNOSIS

Multichannel intraluminal impedance-pH (MII-pH) monitoring has improved diagnostic ability, with its ability to detect nonacid GER, and aid in determining relationships of GER to extraesophageal symptoms, and in measuring GER in treatment-unresponsive patients [7^{••}]. Testing can be undertaken while patients continue PPIs. Recently published MII-pH criteria define normal values for infants and children: fewer than 70 GER episodes in children over the age of 12 months, and fewer than 100 episodes in children under a year of age [7^{••}]. New statistical measures increase the diagnostic utility of MII-pH testing: the Symptom Index (number of GER-associated symptoms as a proportion of the total number of symptoms) is abnormal if more than 50% and the Symptom Sensitivity Index (SSI) (number of GER symptom-associated events as a proportion of all GER events) is abnormal if more than 10%. The Symptom Association Probability assesses the temporal association of symptoms with episodes of GER (significant if >0.95) [7^{••},8]. There are, however, pitfalls in interpretation of MII-pH monitoring. Automated analysis is inadequately validated. Visual inspection and review of automated assessments is recommended, but interpretation is inconsistent, even by experts [9[•]]. Clinical services need to develop their own review process and consistency to optimize outcomes for children.

MII-pH monitoring helps understand the relationship between GER, infantile apnea, oxygen desaturation, and bradycardia, which esophageal pH studies failed to demonstrate consistently [10,11]. MII-pH studies, however, show that weakly acidic

GER is implicated in apnea of prematurity. MII-pH monitoring and polysomnography, the 30s before and after GER events, were studied in 54 infants with recurrent apnea. Fifteen percent of pathological apneic events occurred in association with nonacid GER, typically more after GER events [12]. This relationship is still questioned by some because of methodological issues and older evidence of the lack of a real association [13]. It is prudent to consider the possibility of GER contributing to apnea of prematurity, while remembering that these infants are vulnerable to other stimuli as well.

Kahrilas *et al.* [14[•]] systematically reviewed treatment of chronic cough with acid suppression, finding tentative evidence of a relationship between chronic cough and GER, although the direction of the relationship is uncertain, as cough may induce GER. A retrospective review of pediatric MII-pH studies failed to confirm a relationship between cough and GER [15]. Analysis of the time preceding and following episodes of cough during MII-pH studies in 106 children showed 28–78% of cough episodes were preceded by GER in 83 patients. Weakly acid GER was responsible for 22% of GER-associated cough, and was more likely to be the stimulus in infants. Acid GER as a trigger increased with increasing age, accounting for 94% of GER-related triggers in children older than 6 years. Cough preceded only 2.4% of GER events [16^{••}].

Refluxate components other than acid contribute to extraesophageal disease. Rosen *et al.* [17[•]] reported 50 children undergoing bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL), UGI endoscopy, and MII-pH study. Pepsin-positive BAL was more common in individuals with more nonacid GER. An Indian study documented elevated levels of pepsin in middle-ear effusions of children undergoing middle-ear surgery [18]. Bile acids are found in airways of individuals with chronic lung disease, and more than 50% of patients with cystic fibrosis. The presence of bile acids was associated with higher concentrations of neutrophil elastase and worse lung disease [19[•]]. Both pepsin and bile acids can promote an inflammatory response and exaggerate airways disease [20].

MEDICAL MANAGEMENT

GER treatment is aimed at symptom control, mucosal healing, complication prevention, and minimizing harm from medications. The traditional paradigm of a stepwise approach starting with parental education and support in uncomplicated GER and then sequentially nonpharmacological modifications of lifestyle, pharmacological management, and surgical interventions in severe GERD is the preferred model of care [21[•]].

LIFESTYLE MODIFICATION

Parental education regarding normal infant physiology, behavior, and development remains central to managing GER. Recent studies confirm the natural history of GER [22,23]. Italian primary care physicians assessed 2462 infants, collecting clinical information and completing the Infant Gastroesophageal Reflux Questionnaire (I-GERQ). Twelve percent of patients met Rome II diagnostic criteria for infant regurgitation, most in the first 6 months of life. One quarter had resolved by age 6 months, and a further 60% by 12 months of age. Breastfeeding was associated with more rapid resolution of regurgitation. At follow-up, only one child had developed GERD [22]. An Indonesian study of 130 babies followed to 1 year of age found 80% regurgitating at least once daily at 1 month, but only 10% regurgitating daily by 12 months. Fully breastfed infants regurgitated less frequently than partially breastfed infants [23].

Lifestyle modifications for infantile GER include thickening of feeds, postural change, avoiding overfeeding, and in certain situations a time limited trial of hypoallergenic formula [24[■],25].

Thickening of formula, typically with carob bean gum or rice starch, has a modest effect upon GER. Despite reduction of emesis and improved weight gain, there is no decrease in acid exposure of the esophagus [25,26]. Use of amylopectin feed thickener in preterm neonates resulted in a decrease in the frequency of acid, but not nonacid, GER in 24 neonates, and did not decrease apnea [27[■]].

The effect of posture on GER has recently been re-explored. A specially designed 'bed' supporting infants in a prone, 40°, head elevated position was assessed using the I-GERQ, in an open trial in 30 infants (median age 1.5 months) with frequent GER. Eight infants (27%) ceased using the bed within 48 h because of increasing distress. Normalization or significant improvement of I-GERQ score occurred in 64%. This bed may have some limited application [28]. A Korean study assessed the 30° head elevated position during the postprandial period and documented a decrease in the number of GER episodes per hour, and respiratory symptoms, during the head elevated period [29[■]]. The effects of postural change upon GER in preterm infants are complex. The prone and left lateral positions (LLPs) reduce GER episodes compared with the supine position. Van Wijk *et al.* [30] previously suggested using the right lateral position (RLP) for the first postprandial hour, changing to the LLP to promote gastric emptying and reduce liquid GER. Recently, this group has documented in a crossover study of healthy preterm infants that transient lower esophageal sphincter relaxation (TLESR) is

triggered with lower feed volumes in the RLP (10.6 ml) compared with the LLP (21 ml) [31]. At present, evidence supports care in the supine head elevated position, and further work is required to determine the clinical utility of the LLP [24[■],25].

Evidence regarding mode of feeding and GER is limited. An open observational study of 35 neonates with feeding problems compared GER parameters in tube-fed and orally fed infants [32]. Prolonged feeding duration was associated with fewer GER events and more rapid bolus clearance. An open study of children being treated for congenital heart disease confirmed enhanced weight gain with transpyloric feeding, presumed to be secondary to improved GER control [33].

In a subset of children, GER symptoms may be a manifestation of cow's milk protein intolerance. These babies often have other allergic manifestations [25]. Borrelli *et al.* [34[■]] studied 17 infants and young children aged 6–24 months, with known cow's milk allergy and suspected GER. During MII-pH monitoring, participants received an amino acid-based formula (AAF) for the first 24 h and then cow's milk. They demonstrated a significant increase in the number of weakly acid GER episodes and in the proximal extent of GER after cow's milk challenge. A 2–4-week trial of hypoallergenic formula is recommended in these situations. If there is no response, normal formula is reinstated [35]. This strategy should not be contemplated in fully breastfed infants [24[■]].

There are limited data regarding other dietary modification in children, but, based upon adult data, avoidance of prebedtime eating, fatty meals, caffeine, chocolate, carbonated beverages, alcohol, tobacco, and spicy foods may be helpful. Passive smoking is a risk factor for esophagitis in children. Weight management is an important part of treatment in obese children with GER [25].

PHARMACOLOGICAL THERAPY

Surface protective agents usually contain alginate or sucralfate. Alginates are thought to generate CO₂ after interaction with acid, forming a raft atop gastric contents and acting as a buffering agent and physical barrier to reflux. Evidence of clinical benefit is variable [35]. A study of sodium alginate in 28 preterm neonates confirmed a decrease in the number of acid, but not nonacid GERs, and no change in apnea frequency [34[■],36]. Sucralfate (combined sucrose, aluminium, and sulphate) forms a gel that binds to exposed mucosa in an acidic environment and promotes healing of esophagitis in adults, but there are no pediatric efficacy or safety data.

Antacids neutralize gastric acid. Most products contain magnesium and aluminium hydroxide or calcium carbonate. Validated in adults, they can be used in older children and adolescents for quick symptom relief [37]. Potential side-effects include aluminium toxicity and milk alkali syndrome, and, because safer and more convenient alternatives exist, chronic antacid therapy is not recommended for children [35].

Histamine 2 receptor antagonists (H2RAs) inhibit acid secretion from parietal cells. Although ranitidine is commonly prescribed in children, there are no pediatric randomized controlled trials (RCTs) examining efficacy. Extrapolation of large adult RCTs to older children and adolescents suggests that H2RAs may be effective short-term treatment, and on-demand therapy due to onset of action within 30 min. Short duration of action and development of tachyphylaxis, as early as 14 days after initiation of therapy, limit H2RA use [35,38,39]. Though they are considered safe, some infants may develop irritability, head banging, and headaches with H2RAs [37].

PPIs are potent inhibitors of gastric acid secretion that act by irreversibly inhibiting hydrogen potassium ATPase, the final pathway of gastric acid secretion [40]. Adult RCTs have established the superiority of PPIs over H2RAs in healing esophagitis and maintaining remission [35,41]. Pediatric pharmacokinetic and safety studies suggest PPIs are well tolerated and efficacious, but relatively higher dosage is needed to obtain acid suppression comparable to adults. PPIs do not lose their potency over time, but are unreliable 'on-demand therapy' as time to maximal effect may be 2–8 days [40]. PPIs have been used in children safely and effectively for up to 11 years for treatment of erosive esophagitis, although up to 14% of children will have idiosyncratic reactions, including headache, nausea, diarrhea, and constipation [41,42].

Prokinetic medicines include metoclopramide, domperidone, cisapride, and erythromycin. Though theoretically appealing due to their potential to enhance gastric emptying and increase lower esophageal sphincter (LES) tone, there is inadequate evidence of clinical effectiveness [35,43]. More importantly, these medications are associated with significant and life-threatening cardiac electrical changes. They have no role in the management of uncomplicated GER but may be tried in severe, treatment-resistant patients if closely monitored [24[■],35].

Recognition that nonacid GER may be as important as acid GER has led to attempts to inhibit TLESR, the principal cause of GER. Baclofen, an agonist of the inhibitory neurotransmitter gamma

amino-butyric acid (GABA) receptor, reduces TLESR and acid GER [44,45]. Unfortunately, it has significant central nervous system side-effects, including drowsiness, limiting its usefulness [46]. Agents that show some promise in adult studies, but that need further assessment, include arbaclofen (GABA receptor agonists) and the mGluR5 antagonist ADX10059 [25,47,48].

Overuse of medication to manage uncomplicated GER is a significant issue. Crying and possetting are part of normal infant development and behavior, not necessarily related to GER but often conflated into a diagnosis of GERD [24[■],35,49[■]]. This is erroneous, potentially dangerous, and leads to futile treatments. The largest RCT to date in infants showed that for symptoms purportedly due to GERD (screaming and back arching), a PPI was no better than a placebo [50].

Part of the physician response to prescribe PPIs may reflect belief in their safety. Evidence to the contrary is accumulating, with reports of risk of infections including acute gastroenteritis and community-acquired pneumonia, necrotizing enterocolitis, candidemia, bacterial enterocolitis, and small bowel bacterial overgrowth. Chronic PPI use is also associated with increased risk of hip fractures and vitamin B12 deficiency [35].

Scherer *et al.* [51[■]] highlighted the importance of the definition of GERD and the use of the label 'disease' in promoting overmedicalization of GER. They questioned 175 parents, prior to medical consultation, using clinical vignettes with treatment options consistent with current guidelines for treatment of GER and GERD [35]. The study tested the influence of a 'disease' label, and advice that medication was likely to be ineffective in improving GERD symptoms. Parents receiving the 'disease' label were more interested in medication than parents who did not, as were parents with children previously diagnosed with GERD. Parents with a 'disease' label were interested in medication even knowing that it was likely to be ineffective, whereas parents not given a GERD diagnosis and informed of likely ineffectiveness of medication were less interested in medication.

SURGICAL MANAGEMENT

Antireflux surgery (ARS) aims to reduce GER without inhibiting swallowing. Recent pediatric GERD guidelines note the lack of evidence regarding patient and procedure selection for ARS [35]. The quality of this evidence is reflected in some practitioners' understanding of ARS indications. Surgeons indicated that they weighed up a range of subjective as well as objective issues in arriving at

preoperative decisions. The authors concluded that some surgeons might feel that they are expected to undertake ARS, regardless of the objective evidence [52]. Given the dearth of prospective studies enabling prediction of optimal therapy, surgery should be restricted to children who have complicated GERD and have failed medical therapy [21[■],24[■]].

When PPI treatment is effective, surgery offers no advantage in symptom control. The Long-Term Usage of Esomeprazole vs Surgery for Treatment of Chronic GERD trial compared maintenance therapy of chronic symptomatic GERD with esomeprazole and standardized laparoscopic ARS in adults responding well to PPI, and found that remission rate and severe adverse events were similar in both groups. PPIs are better at controlling heartburn, but surgery more effectively controls regurgitation, at the cost of some dysphagia (11 vs. 6%) [53].

Fundoplication is now commonly a laparoscopic procedure. An RCT of 88 children comparing laparoscopic procedure and open fundoplication failed to demonstrate differences in outcome, except that laparoscopic procedure required longer operating time, similarly to earlier findings in neonates [54[■],55]. A meta-analysis of 466 children treated with laparoscopic procedure and 255 with open fundoplication in six studies documented earlier feeding, less morbidity, and shorter hospitalization for laparoscopic procedure, with no difference in rate of GERD recurrence at 12 months, concluding that laparoscopic procedure is a safe, effective alternative to open fundoplication [56].

Pediatricians need to be aware of risks of fundoplication. Mortality is generally low, less than 1% [57[■]]. Early postoperative complications of bleeding, bowel perforation, pneumothorax, and severe postoperative nausea and vomiting occur in 0–5% of patients. Long-term complications include gas-bloat syndrome (1–85%), dysphagia (3–50%), diarrhea (18–33%), and recurrence of symptoms (10–62%) [57[■],58,59].

An important follow-up study of a single surgeon's experience at a tertiary pediatric center identified 46 of 233 children undergoing ARS dying during a mean follow-up period of 2.8 years. This population included 76 children with cerebral palsy, 71 with congenital syndromes and anomalies, 114 with a gastrostomy, and 18 with a tracheostomy. Children dying were more likely to be underweight, female, and have a gastrostomy and cerebral palsy. Children with cerebral palsy had an 11% 30-day mortality [60].

Endoluminal techniques have the potential to minimize surgical morbidity [61,62,63[■],64[■]]. Limited pediatric experience has been reported for

the Endocinch procedure (suturing the stomach wall below the LES), the Stretta procedure (radio frequency ablation of the tissue of the LES resulting in scarring), the Enteryx procedure (polymer injection into the LES), and transoral incisionless fundoplication (TIF; the EsophyX device). TIF endoscopically creates a three-quarter wrap of the lower esophagus, and limited experience in adults and children confirms effectiveness and safety of TIF in carefully selected patients. A novel magnetic device placed laparoscopically around the distal esophagus to augment the LES, but still allow passage of swallowed boluses, decreased esophageal acid exposure and allowed a 50% decrease in PPI use in 93% of patients [65[■]]. These procedures are not without risk, with reported complications including perforation, bleeding, mediastinal or abdominal abscess, and symptom recurrence [61].

CONCLUSION

The challenge of GER and GERD in the 21st century is the same as it has always been: to differentiate normal development from disease, apply clinical judgment to determine which children need special tests and treatment, and choose therapy that offers the best risk–benefit ratio, at the most reasonable cost. At the heart of these processes is dialogue with families, explaining, educating, and leading them to the best decisions, while remembering *primum non nocere*.

Acknowledgements

None.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

The article has not been submitted elsewhere.

REFERENCES AND RECOMMENDED READING

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- of outstanding interest

Additional references related to this topic can also be found in the Current World Literature section in this issue (pp. 639–640).

1. Peery AF, Dellon ES, Lund J, *et al.* Burden of gastrointestinal disease in the United States: 2012 update. *Gastroenterology* 2012; 143:1179–1187. This is an important nationwide survey of ambulatory and inpatient data, which summarizes the burden of gastrointestinal disease, and puts GERD into perspective. Not age-specific.
2. Jansson C, Wiberg M, Alexanderson K. Sickness absence due to gastroesophageal reflux diagnoses: a nationwide Swedish population-based study. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2013; 48:17–26. Adult data, but a reminder that GER is a significant and costly burden.
3. Martigne L, Delaage PH, Thomas-Delecourt F, *et al.* Prevalence and management of gastroesophageal reflux disease in children and adolescents: a nationwide cross-sectional observational study. *Eur J Pediatr* 2012; 171:1767–1773. An important nationwide European assessment of the prevalence of GER and GERD. It is reassuring how similarly babies behave in different settings.

4. Chai G, Governale L, McMahon AW, *et al.* Trends of outpatient prescription drug utilization in US children, 2002–2010. *Pediatrics* 2012; 130: 23–31.
- A reminder of drug-prescribing practices among pediatricians and pediatric gastroenterologists. Although we are more conservative than our adult colleagues, we still have plenty to think about.
5. Nelson SP, Kothari S, Wu EQ, *et al.* Pediatric gastroesophageal reflux disease and acid-related conditions: trends in incidence of diagnosis and acid suppression therapy. *J Med Econ* 2009; 12:348–355.
6. Sherman PM, Hassall E, Fagundes-Neto U, *et al.* A global, evidence-based consensus on the definition of gastroesophageal reflux disease in the pediatric population. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2009; 104:1278–1295.
7. Wenzl TG, Benninga MA, Loots CM, *et al.* Indications, methodology, and interpretation of combined esophageal impedance-pH monitoring in children: ESPGHAN EURO-PIG standard protocol. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2012; 55:230–234.
- State-of-the-art 'how to' with Mill-pH monitoring.
8. Omari TI, Schwarzer A, vanWijk MP, *et al.* Optimisation of the reflux-symptom association statistics for use in infants being investigated by 24-h pH impedance. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2011; 52:408–413.
9. Loots CM, van Wijk MP, Blondeau K, *et al.* Interobserver and intraobserver variability in pH-impedance analysis between 10 experts and automated analysis. *J Pediatr* 2012; 160:441–446.
- We have a great test in Mill-pH, but it is only as good as our interpretation, which is well short of foolproof.
10. Di Fiore JM, Arko M, Whitehouse M, *et al.* Apnea is not prolonged by acid gastroesophageal reflux in preterm infants. *Pediatrics* 2005; 116:1059–1063.
11. Peter CS, Sprodowski N, Bohnhorst B, *et al.* Gastroesophageal reflux and apnea of prematurity: no temporal relationship. *Pediatrics* 2002; 109:8–11.
12. Corvaglia L, Zama D, Spizzichino M, *et al.* The frequency of apneas in very preterm infants is increased after nonacid gastro-esophageal reflux. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2011; 23:303–307.
13. Poets CF. Gastroesophageal reflux and apnea of prematurity: coincidence, not causation. Commentary on L. Corvaglia *et al.*: a thickened formula does not reduce apneas related to gastroesophageal reflux in preterm infants. *Neonatology* 2013; 103:103–104.
14. Kahrilas PJ, Howden CW, Hughes N, Molloy-Bland M. Response of chronic cough to acid-suppressive therapy in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Chest* 2013; 143:605–612.
- See note, Ref. [16]. It is not just about acid!
15. Greifer M, Ng K, Levine J. Impedance and extraesophageal manifestations of reflux in pediatric. *Laryngoscope* 2012; 122:1397–1400.
16. Ghezzi M, Guida E, Ullmann N, *et al.* Weakly acidic gastroesophageal refluxes are frequently triggers in young children with chronic cough. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2013; 48:295–302.
- Important study showing that weakly acidic GER is an important cause of cough in some children.
17. Rosen R, Johnston N, Hart K, *et al.* The presence of pepsin in the lung and its relationship to pathologic gastro-esophageal reflux. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2012; 24:129–133.
- Interesting report documenting the contribution of factors other than acid to GERD.
18. Nair S, Kumar M, Nair P. Role of GERD in children with otitis media with effusion. *Indian J Pediatr* 2012; 79:1328–1332.
19. Pauwels A, Decraene A, Blondeau K, *et al.* Bile acids in sputum and increased airway inflammation in patients with cystic fibrosis. *Chest* 2012; 141:1568–1574.
- Interesting report documenting the contribution of factors other than acid to GERD.
20. Trinick R, Johnston N, Dalzell AM, McNamara PS. Reflux aspiration in children with neurodisability: a significant problem, but can we measure it? *J Pediatr Surg* 2012; 47:291–298.
21. Lightdale JR, Gremse DA, Section On Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition. Gastroesophageal reflux: management guidance for the pediatrician. *Pediatrics* 2013; 131:e1684–e1695.
- Emphasis upon current issues in GER assessment and management.
22. Campanozzi A, Boccia G, Pensabene L, *et al.* Prevalence and natural history of gastroesophageal reflux: pediatric prospective survey. *Pediatrics* 2009; 123:779–783.
23. Hegar B, Dewanti NR, Kadim M, *et al.* Natural evolution of regurgitation in healthy infants. *Acta Paediatr* 2009; 98:1189–1193.
24. Forbes D. Mewling and puking: infantile gastroesophageal reflux in the 21st century. *J Paediatr Child Health* 2013; 49:259–263.
- Review focussing on infantile GER.
25. van der Pol R, Smite M, Benninga MA, van Wijk MP. Nonpharmacological therapies for GERD in infants and children. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2011; 53 (Suppl 2):S6–S8.
26. Horvath A, Dziechciarz P, Szajewska H. The effect of thickened-feed interventions on gastroesophageal reflux in infants: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. *Pediatrics* 2008; 122:e1268–e1277.
27. Corvaglia L, Spizzichino M, Aceti A, *et al.* A thickened formula does not reduce apneas related to gastroesophageal reflux in preterm infants. *Neonatology* 2013; 103:98–102.
- The methodology for studying GER-related symptoms is now well refined, and this group has shown the GER symptoms are not specifically related to acid.
28. Vandenplas Y, De Schepper J, Verheyden S, *et al.* A preliminary report on the efficacy of the Multicare AR-Bed in 3-week-3-month-old infants on regurgitation, associated symptoms and acid reflux. *Arch Dis Child* 2010; 95:26–30.
29. Jung WJ, Yang HJ, Min TK, *et al.* The efficacy of the upright position on gastroesophageal reflux and reflux-related respiratory symptoms in infants with chronic respiratory symptoms. *Allergy Asthma Immunol Res* 2012; 4:17–23.
- Old science re-discovered. Prone head elevated at 30° decreases respiratory symptoms, but not GER episodes.
30. van Wijk MP, Benninga MA, Dent J, *et al.* Effect of body position changes on postprandial gastroesophageal reflux and gastric emptying in the healthy premature neonate. *J Pediatr* 2007; 151:585–590.
31. van Wijk MP, Benninga MA, Davidson GP, *et al.* Small volumes of feed can trigger transient lower esophageal sphincter relaxation and gastroesophageal reflux in the right lateral position in infants. *J Pediatr* 2010; 156:744–748.
32. Jadczerla SR, Chan CY, Moore R, *et al.* Impact of feeding strategies on the frequency and clearance of acid and nonacid gastroesophageal reflux events in dysphagic neonates. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 2012; 36:449–455.
33. Kuwata S, Iwamoto Y, Ishido H, *et al.* Duodenal tube feeding: an alternative approach for effectively promoting weight gain in children with gastroesophageal reflux and congenital heart disease. *Gastroenterol Res Pract* 2013; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/181604>. [Epub ahead of print]
34. Borrelli O, Mancini V, Thapar N, *et al.* Cow's milk challenge increases weakly acidic reflux in children with cow's milk allergy and gastroesophageal reflux disease. *J Pediatr* 2012; 161:476–481.
- Important study which begins to make sense of the observation that some infants with cow's milk allergy present with features of GER. We await more evidence regarding mechanisms.
35. Vandenplas Y, Rudolph CD, Di Lorenzo C, *et al.* Pediatric gastroesophageal reflux clinical practice guidelines: joint recommendations of the North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition (NASPGHAN) and the European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition (ESPGHAN). *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2009; 49:498–547.
36. Corvaglia L, Spizzichino M, Zama D, *et al.* Sodium Alginate (Gaviscon) does not reduce apnoeas related to gastro-oesophageal reflux in preterm infants. *Early Hum Dev* 2011; 87:775–778.
37. Sullivan JS, Sundaram SS. Gastroesophageal reflux. *Pediatr Rev* 2012; 33:243–253.
38. Czinn SJ, Blanchard S. Gastroesophageal reflux disease in neonates and infants: when and how to treat. *Paediatr Drugs* 2013; 15:19–27.
39. Poddar U. Diagnosis and management of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD): an Indian perspective. *Indian Pediatr* 2013; 50:119–126.
40. Savarino V, Di Mario F, Scarpignato C. Proton pump inhibitors in GORD: an overview of their pharmacology, efficacy and safety. *Pharmacol Res* 2009; 59:135–153.
41. Carroll MW, Jacobson K. Gastroesophageal reflux disease in children and adolescents: when and how to treat. *Paediatr Drugs* 2012; 14:79–89.
42. Hassall E, Kerr W, El-Serag HB. Characteristics of children receiving proton pump inhibitors continuously for up to 11 years duration. *J Pediatr* 2007; 150:262–267.
43. Cabrera J, Subbarao G, Croffie J. Diagnostic testing and management of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) in children. *Minerva Pediatr* 2012; 64:585–594.
44. Omari TI, Benninga MA, Sansom L, *et al.* Effect of baclofen on esophago-gastric motility and gastroesophageal reflux in children with gastroesophageal reflux disease: a randomized controlled trial. *J Pediatr* 2006; 149:468–474.
45. Orr WC, Goodrich S, Wright S, *et al.* The effect of baclofen on nocturnal gastroesophageal reflux and measures of sleep quality: a randomized, cross-over trial. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2012; 24:553–559.
46. Kuo P, Holloway RH. Beyond acid suppression: new pharmacologic approaches for treatment of GERD. *Curr Gastroenterol Rep* 2010; 12: 175–180.
47. Vakil NB, Huff FJ, Bian A, *et al.* Arbaclofen placarbil in GERD: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2011; 106: 1427–1438.
48. Zerbib F, Bruley des Varannes S, Roman S, *et al.* Randomised clinical trial: effects of monotherapy with ADX10059, a mGluR5 inhibitor, on symptoms and reflux events in patients with gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2011; 33:911–921.
49. Hassall E. Over-prescription of acid-suppressing medications in infants: how it came about, why it's wrong, and what to do about it. *J Pediatr* 2012; 160:193–198.
- Commentary upon issue of overtreatment of uncomplicated GER, and the influences upon clinicians.
50. Orenstein SR, Hassall E, Furmaga-Jablonska W, *et al.* Multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial assessing the efficacy and safety of proton pump inhibitor lansoprazole in infants with symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *J Pediatr* 2009; 154:514–520.

51. Scherer LD, Zikmund-Fisher BJ, Fagerlin A, Tarini BA. Influence of ■ 'GERD' label on parents' decision to medicate infants. *Pediatrics* 2013; 131:1–7.

Sobering and important study that explores the influence of the ways in which we present information upon the decisions that families make. Reiterates the importance of clarity of definition (disease vs. physiology).

52. LaRiviere CA, Parimi C, Huaco JC, *et al.* Variations in preoperative decision making for antireflux procedures in pediatric gastroesophageal reflux disease: a survey of pediatric surgeons. *J Pediatr Surg* 2011; 46: 1093–1098.
53. Galmiche JP, Hatlebakk J, Attwood S, *et al.* Laparoscopic antireflux surgery vs esomeprazole treatment for chronic GERD: the LOTUS randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2011; 305:1969–1977.
54. Knatten CK, Fyhn TJ, Edwin B, *et al.* Thirty-day outcome in children randomized to open and laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication. *J Pediatr Surg* 2012; 47:1990–1996.
- RCT of open and laparoscopic fundoplication, demonstrating equivalence.
55. Thatch KA, Yoo EY, Arthur LG, *et al.* A comparison of laparoscopic and open Nissen fundoplication and gastrostomy placement in the neonatal intensive care unit population. *J Pediatr Surg* 2010; 45:346–349.
56. Siddiqui MR, Abdulaal Y, Nisar A, *et al.* A meta-analysis of outcomes after open and laparoscopic Nissen's fundoplication for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease in children. *Pediatr Surg Int* 2011; 27:359–366.
57. Richter JE. Gastroesophageal reflux disease treatment: side effects and ■ complications of fundoplication. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2013; 11: 465–471.

Extensive literature review of complications of fundoplication.

58. Broeders JA, Roks DJ, Ali UA, *et al.* Laparoscopic anterior 180-degree versus Nissen fundoplication for gastroesophageal reflux disease: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Ann Surg* 2013; 257:850–859.
59. Koch OO, Kaindlstorfer A, Antoniou SA, *et al.* Comparison of results from a randomized trial 1 year after laparoscopic Nissen and Toupet fundoplications. *Surg Endosc* 2013; 27:2383–2390.
60. Wockenforth R, Gillespie CS, Jaffray B. Survival of children following Nissen fundoplication. *Br J Surg* 2011; 98:680–685.
61. Leeds S, Reavis K. Endolumenal therapies for gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am* 2013; 23:41–51.
62. Thomson MA. Endoscopic approaches to the treatment of GERD. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2011; 53 (Suppl 2):S11–S13.
63. Trad KS, Turgeon DG, Deljkich E. Long-term outcomes after transoral ■ incisionless fundoplication in patients with GERD and LPR symptoms. *Surg Endosc* 2012; 26:650–660.
- Follow-up of cohort of TIF, confirming efficacy and safety of procedure in adults.
64. Thomson M, Urs A, Rao P, *et al.* Transoral incisionless fundoplication using ■ esophyx for the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease in children: 36 months' results of a feasibility evaluation. *ESPGHAN*; 8–11 May 2013; SP-G-0062 London. <http://journals.lww.com/jpgn/Documents/ESPGHAN%20Abstracts%202013.pdf>.
- Pediatric report (abstract) of TIF.
65. Ganz RA, Peters JH, Horgan S, *et al.* Esophageal sphincter device for ■ gastroesophageal reflux disease. *N Engl J Med* 2013; 368:719–727.
- Report of a new antireflux procedure with a magnetic device. Six percent device removal because of severe adverse events within 3 years of procedure.