



Painful chronic pancreatitis - new approaches for evaluation and management

Dhiraj Yadav^a, Tonya M. Palermo^b, Anna E. Phillips^a, Melena D. Bellin^c, and Darwin L. Conwell^d

Purpose of review

Management of abdominal pain in patients with chronic pancreatitis is often suboptimal. We review recent data on the epidemiology and new approaches for managing pain in chronic pancreatitis.

Recent findings

Chronic pancreatitis duration does not appear to affect the pain experience. Pain pattern in chronic pancreatitis patients frequently changes and is not related to traditional patient and disease-related factors. Psychologic comorbidities, i.e. anxiety and depression, are frequent in patients with chronic pancreatitis, and are associated with more severe pain and pain interference. Adjunctive treatments, such as cognitive behavioral therapy, may positively influence pain management in chronic pancreatitis. Total pancreatectomy with islet autotransplantation (TPIAT) is an increasingly adopted treatment option in painful chronic pancreatitis. Ongoing multicenter studies will help define optimal candidates, predictors of successful pain remission and diabetes outcomes after TPIAT. Pancreatic quantitative sensory testing, a promising technique to interrogate nociception and sensory response, holds promise to identify patients with central sensitization. Initial studies show feasibility to stratify patients into defined pain profiles, and future studies will explore if these can help in prognostication of pain therapy.

Summary

Several lines of investigations currently under evaluation are likely to have a positive impact on the management of pain in chronic pancreatitis.

Keywords

chronic pancreatitis, cognitive behavioral therapy, pain, psychosocial factors, quantitative sensory testing, TPIAT

INTRODUCTION

Abdominal pain is the most common symptom of patients with chronic pancreatitis and is associated with a high economic, personal, and societal burden. Currently, the general approach to managing abdominal pain hinges on pancreatic morphology on cross-sectional imaging. After exclusion of non-pancreatic causes and local complications, patients with features suggestive of obstructive disease, i.e. pancreatic ductal obstruction due to stones and/or strictures, are offered endoscopic and/or surgical therapies, while those with nonobstructive disease are managed medically [1[¶],2[¶]]. Select patients who fail medical, endoscopic, and sometimes surgical therapies may undergo a total pancreatectomy with islet autotransplantation (TPIAT) [3[¶]]. However, pain management is often suboptimal leading to chronic opioid use and its associated side effects, increased healthcare utilization and poor quality of life.

This review discusses recent studies that address knowledge gaps in the evaluation and management of pain in adult patients with chronic pancreatitis. Specifically, we focus on the natural course of pain, the promising role of psychosocial interventions, outcomes, and research opportunities with TPIAT,

^aDivision of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, Department of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, ^bDepartment of Anesthesiology & Pain Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, ^cDivision of Endocrinology and Metabolism, University of Minnesota Medical Center, Minneapolis, Minnesota and ^dDivision of Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition, The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center, Columbus, Ohio, USA

Correspondence to Dhiraj Yadav, MD, MPH, Professor of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology & Hepatology, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, 200 Lothrop Street, M2, C-wing, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA. Tel: +412 648 7078; fax: +412 383 8992; e-mail: yadavd@upmc.edu

Curr Opin Gastroenterol 2021, 37:504–511

DOI:10.1097/MOG.0000000000000769

KEY POINTS

- The pattern of pain in patients with chronic pancreatitis is dynamic, changes over time, and not influenced by disease duration.
- There is a high prevalence of psychologic comorbidity in patients with chronic pancreatitis, and these are associated with pain severity and interference.
- Cognitive behavioral therapy as an adjunctive treatment has the potential to improve outcomes of pain management.
- Ongoing longitudinal study on TPIAT will inform optimal candidacy, predictors of pain response, and diabetes outcomes.
- Pancreatic quantitative sensory testing is a promising bedside testing technique that may help identify patients likely to benefit from invasive treatments.

and how to identify patients more likely to benefit from interventions. Investigators in the Consortium for the Study of Chronic Pancreatitis, Diabetes and Pancreatic Cancer (CPDPC) are participating and/or leading in several of these initiatives.

EPIDEMIOLOGY OF PAIN IN CHRONIC PANCREATITIS

There is a high degree of variability in the presence, severity and temporal nature of the pain experience in patients with chronic pancreatitis. Cross-sectionally, in the North American Pancreatitis Studies (NAPS2), 14% patients reported no pain, 69% severe, 55% constant pain, and as many as 62% were on opioid medications [4]. Corresponding data from the Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group CARE cohort noted no pain in 28% patients, intermittent in 20%, constant pain in 52%, and 39% were using opioid medications. Higher pain severity was more likely to be associated with constant pain [5^{***}]. In both studies, morphologic appearance of pancreas on cross-sectional imaging did not correlate with patient's pain experience [5^{***},6].

Two recent studies evaluated the natural course of pain in chronic pancreatitis. In 279 NAPS2 patients enrolled from University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, the median duration of observation was 12.4 years [7^{***}]. Abdominal pain at any time was noted in 89.6% patients, and about two thirds had Type B pain (persistent pain and/or clusters of recurrent severe pain). Endoscopic and/or surgical treatment was needed in 63.8%, mostly for management of abdominal pain. Pain at any time was more common in patients who underwent an

intervention (98.7% vs. 75.2% in medical management arm). Close to half (46.6%) were noted to have abdominal pain at the end of follow up, and this was more common among patients in the intervention arm (58.4% vs. 25.7%). Importantly, disease duration did not associate with pain at the end of follow-up, severe or constant pain [7^{***}].

In the aforementioned CARE study, 905 patients provided longitudinal data during a median follow-up of 47 months. In yearly questionnaires, patients reported their pain pattern, pain intensity (Izbicki pain questionnaire), nature of pain (neuropathic), and quality of life. As many as 61% patients reported a change in pattern of pain at least once, approximately one-third changed pain pattern each year, and these were not associated with endoscopic or surgical interventions. On multivariable regression analyses, pain intensity, as expected, was associated with a change in pain pattern, and surgical intervention with change from pain to no pain.

The lack of association of pain with many of the patient and disease-related factors underscores the need to identify other determinants for pain experience, such as the role of psychosocial factors and psychologic comorbidities and address these as part of pain management. Strategies to identify which patients are likely to respond to interventions are also important. These concepts are elaborated below.

PSYCHOSOCIAL INTERVENTIONS IN PAINFUL CHRONIC PANCREATITIS

Pain is a distressing symptom and reduces health-related quality of life (HRQOL) across multiple domains of physical, psychological, and social functioning [8,9]. Central to contemporary models of chronic pain are the interrelationships among physical, psychological, and social factors that influence pain and disability – commonly referred to as the biopsychosocial model of pain [10]. Psychosocial factors are complex, in part because they serve as vulnerability or risk factors for developing or maintaining pain and disability, but they also serve as consequences of experiencing chronic pain. There are a common set of psychosocial variables that have been identified as relevant and significant to the pain experience including beliefs, appraisals, mood, and behaviors and are often targeted in psychosocial treatments (for a review see [11]). For example, maladaptive beliefs (e.g., fear of pain) and high levels of pain catastrophizing (e.g., thoughts of helplessness and ability to cope with pain) have been shown to amplify pain and disability [11].

Psychological comorbidities are also common among individuals with chronic pain. For example,

Table 1. Psychosocial mechanisms and functional outcomes relevant to painful chronic pancreatitis

Construct	Description	Process
Emotional function	Mood/affect; anxiety; depression	Vulnerability factor and influenced by ongoing pain
Substance use	Alcohol use; smoking; opioid misuse behaviors	Vulnerability factor and influenced by ongoing pain
Sleep quality	Perception of sleep quality, difficulties with sleep onset and maintenance, insomnia symptoms	Vulnerability factor and influenced by ongoing pain
Physical function	Ability to perform physical tasks and vigorous activities	Influenced by ongoing pain
Pain-related interference with daily activities	Interference due to pain on activities of daily life including work, social life, and recreation	Influenced by ongoing pain
Beliefs and expectations	Pain threat, fear of pain, coping efficacy	Vulnerability factor and influenced by ongoing pain
Trauma and stress	History of adverse childhood events; sexual or physical trauma; military trauma	Vulnerability factor
Catastrophizing	Rumination, magnification, and helplessness about pain	Vulnerability factor and influenced by ongoing pain
Social support	Perception of and satisfaction with help received from others (e.g., emotional, tangible)	Protective factor
Self-efficacy	Confidence in coping with pain and performing activities while in pain	Protective factor

in one recent study among patients with chronic pancreatitis, 40% had clinically significant levels of anxiety and depressive symptoms, which were associated with higher pain severity and pain interference [12[•]]. Substance use, in particular alcohol abuse and smoking, is the most commonly recognized cause for recurrent acute and chronic pancreatitis [13] and is also associated with increased pain interference and burden in general chronic pain populations [14,15]. Studies in individuals with chronic pancreatitis have predominantly focused on effects of pain on HRQOL, e.g., [16]. However, there are many gaps in understanding of other psychosocial risk and protective factors (e.g., pain catastrophizing, fear of pain, coping, optimism, social support, and resilience). Table 1 highlights psychosocial factors relevant to painful chronic pancreatitis.

Psychological therapies, and the principles on which they are based, play an important role in chronic pain management. There are different approaches to psychological treatment for chronic pain, including behavioral, cognitive, cognitive-behavioral, and mindfulness-based therapies. Cognitive-behavioral therapies (CBTs) are the most well-studied treatments across a broad range of pain conditions. These therapies aim to enhance patients' beliefs in their ability to cope with pain, to change negative thoughts and feelings, and to change behaviors that maintain or worsen pain, disability, distress, and catastrophic thinking [17]. Evidence shows that CBT is widely accepted;

multiple systematic reviews and meta-analyses of CBT interventions for chronic pain demonstrate beneficial effects on pain, disability, and mood [18[•]]. CBT is relevant to the experience of painful chronic pancreatitis, especially given the persisting impacts on HRQOL. However, to date, there has been limited application of psychosocial treatments to painful chronic pancreatitis. One pilot study has evaluated the feasibility of a telephone-based mindfulness therapy service [19], where patients listened to daily prerecorded telephone messages aiming to increase purposeful focused awareness. This study found that patients were willing to use this service and that they had some preliminary improvements in HRQOL.

Recent guidelines encourage the use of psychosocial interventions as part of a multidisciplinary approach to chronic pancreatitis pain management [20]. Given the persistence of pain and its impacts, psychosocial interventions such as CBT could be of significant benefit to patients with chronic pancreatitis. Future work on psychosocial interventions in painful chronic pancreatitis is critically needed, especially large definitive randomized trials to evaluate patient benefit for reducing pain and disability and improving HRQOL. Moreover, further work is needed to understand psychosocial risk and protective factors in individuals with chronic pancreatitis across the lifespan that may inform the optimization of psychosocial treatments for painful chronic pancreatitis.

TOTAL PANCREATECTOMY WITH ISLET AUTOTRANSPLANTATION

The choice for surgical approach in chronic pancreatitis depends on patient characteristics, disease features, and pancreas morphology. One approach that has gained traction over the past decade is TPIAT. TPIAT may particularly be considered for hereditary pancreatitis and in small duct disease where surgical drainage procedures are infeasible [3[¶]]. In TPIAT, the pancreas is entirely resected. The pancreas is then processed by enzymatic and mechanical digestion to release islets, which are infused into the portal vein of the recipient to mitigate the severity of postsurgical diabetes. Islet isolation requires a specialized laboratory facility; isolation procedures are adapted for individual TPIAT recipients to address differences in pancreatic architecture with variable fibrosis and differences in the islet-exocrine pancreas morphology between children and adults [21[¶]].

Data from patients undergoing TPIAT before 2009 show a 10-year actuarial survival of 72%, with body mass index (BMI) >30 kg/m² at surgery conveying a 9-fold increased risk for death at 10 years [22]. In a meta-analysis of 1200 TPIAT recipients, about 30% were insulin independent and 63% were off opioids at 1 year after surgery [23]. HRQOL (typically assessed by short form-36 or short form-12) is improved after surgery [24,25]. High residual pain burden after surgery, observed in a subset of patients, is associated with multiple endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography, alcohol etiology, and prolonged opioid use before surgery [26–28], and poor diabetes outcomes with low islet mass at transplant, previous pancreatic surgeries, and more advanced disease (Table 2) [24,26–30]. TPIAT appears to be effective in reducing medical costs long-term in children and adults [31–33]. However, many unanswered questions remain. TPIAT is offered at only a few centers and requires specialized infrastructure. Most of the current data come from single center

studies with variable compliance with clinical follow up. Therefore, studies are needed to understand generalizability of patient selection, safety, short- and long-term outcomes across centers.

The NIH-funded multicenter Prospective Observational Study in TPIAT (POST) is following patients undergoing TPIAT at 13 centers in the USA to define which patient and disease factors predict successful pain remission and diabetes outcomes after TPIAT, in order to better select and stratify patients for this surgery [34]. POST will also study cost-effectiveness of TPIAT and a variety of other secondary outcomes in a diverse and rigorously phenotyped cohort. While outcomes data are not yet available, preliminary published data provide a snapshot of the typical patients seen for TPIAT in the United States. In the first 230 participants enrolled, the mean patient age at TPIAT was 31 years, 55% had genetic risk factors for pancreatitis identified, and only 12% had diabetes before surgery [35].

Aside from the potential therapeutic benefit to the patient, TPIAT has provided unique opportunities for pancreatitis research. Pancreas tissue from human patients is not routinely accessible for study, due to the invasiveness of pancreas biopsy. Thus, when pancreata are processed for TPIAT, there is an opportunity to utilize biopsy samples and discarded exocrine pancreas tissue to understand the mechanisms underlying pancreatitis. Recent research suggests different immunopathology and genetic expression pathways in hereditary vs. idiopathic vs. alcohol-mediated disease [36,37]. Tissue from patients with hereditary forms of pancreatitis was observed to have a CD3+ T-cell predominate inflammatory infiltrate as opposed to a CD68+ macrophage infiltrate in idiopathic disease [36]. Pancreas tissue from patients undergoing TPIAT has also provided valuable insights on the clinical diagnosis of pancreatitis. Magnetic resonance imaging/magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography was better than endoscopic ultrasound for

Table 2. Risk factors associated with poor pain or diabetes outcomes. Adapted with permission from Abu-El-Haija *et al.* [3[¶]]

Factors associated with residual pain and/or opioid use after TPIAT	Factors associated with insulin independence and/or islet graft failure
Alcohol etiology of pancreatitis	Low islet mass transplanted
High dose or prolonged (>5 yrs) preoperative opioid use	Alcohol etiology of pancreatitis
Pancreas divisum	Previous pancreas drainage or resection surgery
High number of prior ERCP stents (≥3)	
Older age	Pancreatic atrophy or calcifications
Prior Whipple surgery	Prolonged duration pancreatitis (>5 years)
Obesity (BMI ≥30 kg/m ²)	Adolescent/Adult (vs. young child)
	Smoking history
	Prediabetes before TPIAT

ERCP, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography.

diagnosing noncalcific pancreatitis based on pancreas biopsy specimens, and computed tomography-determined atrophy alone did not appear to correlate with fibrosis in patients with recurrent acute and chronic pancreatitis [38–40]. These examples highlight opportunities to advance clinical research aside clinical care in TPIAT through multidisciplinary and multiinstitutional collaboration.

QUANTITATIVE SENSORY TESTING

A recent well-designed randomized trial (effect of early surgery vs endoscopy-first approach on pain in patients with chronic pancreatitis) from the Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group compared surgery vs. endoscopy first approach in patients with painful chronic pancreatitis [41]. Although the surgery first approach was found to be superior, it is notable that durable pain relief at 18 months (complete or partial pain relief) was only modest in the surgery first arm (58% vs. 40% in the endoscopy arm). In carefully selected patients, 10–20% continue to struggle with high pain burden at 1–15 years after TPIAT [22,24]. The lack of pain response even after invasive interventions is thought to be at least partially due to supraspinal central sensitization, a phenomenon of neuropathic and neuroplastic remodeling resulting from persistent pain stimuli [42–46].

Quantitative sensory testing (QST) is an investigative technique of standardized stimulations and evoked patient responses that tests nociception and elucidates detailed patterns of sensory response. QST has been used in other painful conditions to guide treatment decisions by identifying patients with central sensitization [47–49]. Earlier experimental QST protocols demonstrated correlation of central sensitization with more severe chronic pancreatitis [43,50] and identified central sensitization as a predictor of poor surgical outcome after thoracoscopic splanchnic denervation in chronic pancreatitis [51,52]. Moreover, the presence of sensitization at the pancreatic viscerotome predicted therapeutic response to pregabalin [53]. A new, refined, clinically-feasible bedside pancreas-specific QST protocol (P-QST) has been developed through a collaborative research consortium and has the potential for adoption into routine clinical practice [54,55].

P-QST evaluates both static and dynamic components of visceral pain in chronic pancreatitis. It uses pressure testing (with a digital algometer) of the T10 dermatome as a proxy for the pancreatic viscerotome (made possible by spinal convergence of visceral and somatic nerves) and testing of pancreatic and nonpancreatic (control) dermatomes to evaluate for systemic changes in sensory processing

suggestive of central sensitization. Noninvasive pin-prick testing evaluates for temporal summation to detect neuronal hypersensitivity. A conditioned pain modulation paradigm is used to determine whether the central descending inhibition pathway is intact; for this, hand immersion in ice-water for 2 min serves as a noxious stimulus to activate the pathway and comparison of pressure algometer testing before and after the stimulus allows for detection of appropriate elevation in the pain detection threshold following pathway activation (Table 3 provides details).

The initial study enrolled 122 nondisease controls to establish a nomogram for phenotyping patients with painful chronic pancreatitis into mutually exclusive pain phenotypes, i.e. no abnormal sensitization, segmental sensitization (sensitization of the pancreatic viscerotome), and widespread hyperalgesia suggestive of central sensitization [54]. A cross-sectional study applied this nomogram in 141 patients with painful chronic pancreatitis and identified 34 (24%) as having central sensitization [56].

Incorporation of P-QST into the existing treatment paradigm for painful chronic pancreatitis has the potential to optimize treatment strategies. It is hypothesized that patients with central sensitization are less likely to respond to successful invasive therapy due to altered pain processing. Therefore, patients who have no abnormal sensitization or segmental sensitization would be more likely to benefit from invasive treatments. Because central sensitization is only one aspect of pain response and P-QST does not account for clinical, psychosocial, or other disease factors affecting the pain experience, it is likely that a model incorporating P-QST results with predictors of pain response will provide an individualized prediction of treatment response to planned interventions. Future research is expected to address these questions.

APPROACH OF THE CHRONIC PANCREATITIS, DIABETES AND PANCREATIC CANCER TO ADDRESS KNOWLEDGE GAPS

CPDPC has established a prospective, longitudinal cohort of chronic pancreatitis in adults (prospective evaluation of chronic pancreatitis for epidemiologic and translational studies [PROCEED]) [57]. In addition to deep phenotyping, data are being collected at enrollment and during follow-up for a variety of pain-related variables (e.g., temporal nature and severity, neuropathic or nociceptive pain quality, medication use, quality of life, etc.) and outcomes. These data provide an opportunity to perform a

Table 3. Pancreatic quantitative sensory testing (P-QST): Concepts and measurements assessing aspects of central sensitization

	Temporal summation	Pain pressure detection thresholds	Cold pressor test	Conditioned pain modulation
Concept	Measures 'wind-up' neuronal hypersensitivity: increase in pain response to repeated stimuli of similar intensity	Evaluation of local or widespread hypersensitivity	Activation of central descending inhibitory pathway	Pain tolerance following activation of descending inhibitory pathway
Testing technique	Repetitive pin-prick stimulation. Single stimulation at each site followed by 10 stimulations repeated at 1 s interval. Pain ratings on a 0–10 scale are obtained verbally from patient after single stimulus and series	Pressure algometer used to apply pressure at pancreatic and nonpancreatic dermatomes. Pressure is applied at steadily increasing rate. Patient states when they first detect pain (pain pressure detection threshold)	Hand is immersed in ice-chilled water bucket for total 120 s, or less if pain is intolerable.	Maximum pressure tolerance is assessed at 15 cm above patella in L4 dermatome before and after cold pressor test is performed. Pressure is applied at steadily increasing rate. Patient states when they reach maximum pressure tolerance (pain pressure tolerance threshold)
Interpretation of measurement	Larger response after 10 stimuli compared to response after one stimulus suggests neuronal hypersensitivity	Measurement of absolute pressure thresholds as well as ratio of pancreatic to nonpancreatic dermatomes facilitates assessment of abnormal local or widespread sensitization	Total time of ice water immersion tolerance indicates relative activation of descending inhibition	Pain tolerance following activation of descending inhibitory pathway should increase if pathway is intact and processing pain signals normally

number of secondary analyses to understand the evolution and determinants of pain in chronic pancreatitis. The linked biorepository allows performance of translational studies to identify mechanisms of pain and predictors of treatment response. An example is to define cytokine signatures that associate with mechanistic pain profiles. Such information could lead to a better understanding of pain mechanisms and potential therapeutic targets. Opportunities exist for collaboration with the POST study for data, samples, and tissue specimens for clinical and mechanistic studies.

PROCEED also serves as a platform to conduct clinical trials for promising treatments for pain. As an example, partnering with PROCEED clinical sites [57] we recently completed a pilot feasibility randomized controlled trial of an internet CBT program in 30 adults with painful chronic pancreatitis (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03322644). Our findings demonstrated feasibility and acceptability of internet-delivered CBT for chronic pain. Patients randomized to Internet-CBT vs. control demonstrated moderate to large effects in reducing pain intensity and pain interference from baseline to 3-months. A larger more definitive study has been planned. Finally, in context of the current review,

predicting outcomes of endoscopic and/or surgical treatment performed for pain in chronic pancreatitis patients based on P-QST profile is an approved ancillary study of the CPDPC.

CONCLUSIONS

Current approaches to evaluation and management of pain in chronic pancreatitis have limitations. Research in the next few years is expected to develop better ways to assess patient's pain experience, incorporate adjunctive treatments in pain management, and test strategies to prognosticate response to invasive treatments. These advances will provide individualized treatment planning and improve outcomes of pain management in chronic pancreatitis.

Acknowledgements

None.

Financial support and sponsorship

Funding: National Cancer Institute (NCI) and National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) U01DK108306 (D.Y.), U01DK126300 (M.D.B.), R01DK109124 (M.D.B.), R01DK118752 (T.M.P.), U01DK 108327 (D.L.C.), and Department

of Defense (PR182623)(DY). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or the Department of Defense.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES AND RECOMMENDED READING

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- of outstanding interest

1. Kempeneers MA, Issa Y, Ali UA, *et al.* International consensus guidelines for surgery and the timing of intervention in chronic pancreatitis. *Pancreatology* 2020; 20:149–157.

Refs 1-3 are a series of three recent consensus expert guidelines on invasive treatments for chronic pancreatitis endorsed by international societies.

2. Kitano M, Gress TM, Garg PK, *et al.* International consensus guidelines on interventional endoscopy in chronic pancreatitis. Recommendations from the working group for the international consensus guidelines for chronic pancreatitis in collaboration with the International Association of Pancreatology, the American Pancreatic Association, the Japan Pancreas Society, and European Pancreatic Club. *Pancreatology* 2020; 20:1045–1055.

Refs 1-3 are a series of three recent consensus expert guidelines on invasive treatments for chronic pancreatitis endorsed by international societies.

3. Abu-El-Hajja M, Anazawa T, Beilman GJ, *et al.* The role of total pancreatectomy with islet autotransplantation in the treatment of chronic pancreatitis: A report from the International Consensus Guidelines in chronic pancreatitis. *Pancreatology* 2020; 20:762–771.

Ref s1-3 are a series of three recent consensus expert guidelines on invasive treatments for chronic pancreatitis endorsed by international societies.

4. Schwarzenberg SJ, Uc A, Zimmerman B, *et al.* Chronic pancreatitis: pediatric and adult cohorts show similarities in disease progress despite different risk factors. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2019; 68:566–573.

5. Kempeneers MA, Issa Y, Verdonk RC, *et al.* Pain patterns in chronic pancreatitis: a nationwide longitudinal cohort study. *Gut* 2020. [gutjnl-2020-322117](https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2020-322117).

In this cohort study of over 900 patients with chronic pancreatitis, during a median of 4 years, patients provided information on their pain experience yearly through questionnaires. The authors performed several analyses on the patterns of pain, and the impact of a variety of patient and disease related factors on the pain experience.

6. Wilcox CM, Yadav D, Ye T, *et al.* Chronic pancreatitis pain pattern and severity are independent of abdominal imaging findings. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2015; 13:552–560. [quiz e528-559](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2015.05.059).

7. Vippera K, Kanakis A, Slivka A, *et al.* Natural course of pain in chronic pancreatitis is independent of disease duration. *Pancreatology* 2021; 21:649–657.

This retrospective study constructed the natural course of 279 patients with chronic pancreatitis over a median of 12.5 years of follow-up. Multivariable analyses were performed to evaluate the impact of disease duration on the presence and patterns of pain.

8. Mullady DK, Yadav D, Amann ST, *et al.* Type of pain, pain-associated complications, quality of life, disability and resource utilisation in chronic pancreatitis: a prospective cohort study. *Gut* 2011; 60:77–84.

9. Olesen SS, Nojgaard C, Novovic S, *et al.* Pain and aetiological risk factors determine quality of life in patients with chronic pancreatitis, but a brick in the puzzle is missing. *Pancreatology* 2020; 20:1347–1353.

10. Flor H, Hermann C. Biopsychosocial models of pain. In: Dworkin R, Breitbart WS, editors. *Psychosocial Aspects of Pain: A Handbook for Healthcare Providers*. Seattle: IASP Press; 2004. pp. 47–75.

11. Edwards RR, Dworkin RH, Sullivan MD, *et al.* The role of psychosocial processes in the development and maintenance of chronic pain. *J Pain* 2016; 17:T70–92.

12. Phillips AE, Faghig M, Drewes AM, *et al.* Psychiatric comorbidity in patients with chronic pancreatitis associates with pain and reduced quality of life. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2020; 115:2077–2085.

This study provides information on co-existent psychiatric comorbidity in patients with chronic pancreatitis, and their association with pain and quality of life.

13. Yadav D, Hawes RH, Brand RE, *et al.* Alcohol consumption, cigarette smoking, and the risk of recurrent acute and chronic pancreatitis. *Arch Intern Med* 2009; 169:1035–1045.

14. Zvolensky MJ, McMillan K, Gonzalez A, Asmundson GJ. Chronic pain and cigarette smoking and nicotine dependence among a representative sample of adults. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2009; 11:1407–1414.

15. Witkiewitz K, Vowles KE. Alcohol and opioid use, co-use, and chronic pain in the context of the opioid epidemic: a critical review. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 2018; 42:478–488.

16. Machicado JD, Amann ST, Anderson MA, *et al.* Quality of life in chronic pancreatitis is determined by constant pain, disability/unemployment, current smoking, and associated co-morbidities. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2017; 112:633–642.

17. Jensen MP. Psychosocial approaches to pain management: an organizational framework. *Pain* 2011; 152:717–725.

18. Williams ACC, Fisher E, Hearn L, Eccleston C. Psychological therapies for the management of chronic pain (excluding headache) in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2020; 8:CD007407.

This is the updated Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis of the effect of psychological therapies for the management of chronic pain in adults.

19. Aivaliotis VI, Lee Y, Zia J, *et al.* Telephone-based mindfulness therapy intervention for patients with chronic pancreatitis. *Dig Dis Sci* 2017; 62:502–509.

20. Drewes AM, Bouwense SAW, Campbell CM, *et al.* Guidelines for the understanding and management of pain in chronic pancreatitis. *Pancreatology* 2017; 17:720–731.

21. Wilhelm JJ, Balamurugan AN, Bellin MD, *et al.* Progress in individualizing autologous islet isolation techniques for pediatric islet autotransplantation after total pancreatectomy in children for chronic pancreatitis. *Am J Transplant* 2021; 21:776–786.

This study evaluated islet isolation techniques and the impact of patient and disease-related factors on islet yield during the islet isolation process.

22. Bellin MD, Beilman GJ, Sutherland DE, *et al.* How durable is total pancreatectomy and intraportal islet cell transplantation for treatment of chronic pancreatitis? *J Am Coll Surg* 2019; 228:329–339.

23. Kempeneers MA, Scholten L, Verkade CR, *et al.* Efficacy of total pancreatectomy with islet autotransplantation on opioid and insulin requirement in painful chronic pancreatitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Surgery* 2019; 166:263–270.

24. Sutherland DE, Radosevich DM, Bellin MD, *et al.* Total pancreatectomy and islet autotransplantation for chronic pancreatitis. *J Am Coll Surg* 2012; 214:409–424.

25. Bellin MD, Freeman ML, Schwarzenberg SJ, *et al.* Quality of life improves for pediatric patients after total pancreatectomy and islet autotransplant for chronic pancreatitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2011; 9:793–799.

26. Chinnakotla S, Beilman GJ, Dunn TB, *et al.* Factors predicting outcomes after a total pancreatectomy and islet autotransplantation lessons learned from over 500 cases. *Ann Surg* 2015; 262:610–622.

27. Dunderdale J, McAuliffe JC, McNeal SF, *et al.* Should pancreatectomy with islet cell autotransplantation in patients with chronic alcoholic pancreatitis be abandoned? *J Am Coll Surg* 2013; 216:591–596.

28. Morgan KA, Lancaster WP, Owczarski SM, *et al.* Patient selection for total pancreatectomy with islet autotransplantation in the surgical management of chronic pancreatitis. *J Am Coll Surg* 2018; 226:446–452.

29. Takita M, Lara LF, Naziruddin B, *et al.* Effect of the duration of chronic pancreatitis on pancreas islet yield and metabolic outcome following islet autotransplantation. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2015; 19:1236–1246.

30. Young MC, Theis JR, Hodges JS, *et al.* Preoperative computerized tomography and magnetic resonance imaging of the pancreas predicts pancreatic mass and functional outcomes after total pancreatectomy and islet autotransplant. *Pancreas* 2016; 45:961–966.

31. Wilson GC, Ahmad SA, Schauer DP, *et al.* Cost-effectiveness of total pancreatectomy and islet cell autotransplantation for the treatment of minimal change chronic pancreatitis. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2015; 19:46–54. [discussion 54-45](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12086-015-0545-5).

32. Kassam AF, Cortez AR, Johnston ME, *et al.* Total pancreatectomy with islet autotransplantation reduces resource utilization in pediatric patients. *Am J Surg* 2021. [S0002-9610\(21\)00048-9](https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.000000000000489). [online ahead of print]

33. Garcea G, Pollard CA, Illouz S, *et al.* Patient satisfaction and cost-effectiveness following total pancreatectomy with islet cell transplantation for chronic pancreatitis. *Pancreas* 2013; 42:322–328.

34. Bellin MD, Abu-El-Hajja M, Morgan K, *et al.* A multicenter study of total pancreatectomy with islet autotransplantation (TPIAT): POST (Prospective Observational Study of TPIAT). *Pancreatology* 2018; 18:286–290.

35. Trikudanathan G, Elmunzer BJ, Yang Y, *et al.* Preoperative ERCP has no impact on islet yield following total pancreatectomy and islet autotransplantation (TPIAT): Results from the Prospective Observational Study of TPIAT (POST) cohort. *Pancreatology* 2021; 21:275–281.

36. Lee B, Adamska JZ, Namkoong H, *et al.* Distinct immune characteristics distinguish hereditary and idiopathic chronic pancreatitis. *J Clin Invest* 2020; 130:2705–2711.

37. Blobner BM, Bellin MD, Beilman GJ, *et al.* Gene expression profiling of the pancreas in patients undergoing total pancreatectomy with islet autotransplant suggests unique features of alcoholic, idiopathic, and hereditary pancreatitis. *Pancreas* 2020; 49:1037–1043.

38. Faghig M, Noë M, Mannan R, *et al.* Pancreatic volume does not correlate with histologic fibrosis in adult patients with recurrent acute and chronic pancreatitis. *Pancreatology* 2020; 20:1078–1084.

39. Trikudanathan G, Vega-Peralta J, Malli A, *et al.* Diagnostic performance of endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) for non-calcific chronic pancreatitis (NCCP) based on histopathology. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2016; 111:568–574.

40. Trikudanathan G, Walker SP, Munigala S, *et al.* Diagnostic performance of contrast-enhanced MRI with secretin-stimulated MRCP for non-calcific chronic pancreatitis: a comparison with histopathology. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2015; 110:1598–1606.
41. Issa Y, Kempeneers MA, Bruno MJ, *et al.* Effect of early surgery vs endoscopy-first approach on pain in patients with chronic pancreatitis: The ESCAPE randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2020; 323:237–247.
42. Bouwense SA, Buscher HC, van Goor H, Wilder-Smith OH. Has central sensitization become independent of nociceptive input in chronic pancreatitis patients who fail thoracoscopic splanchnicectomy? *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2011; 36:531–536.
43. Bouwense SA, Olesen SS, Drewes AM, *et al.* Is altered central pain processing related to disease stage in chronic pancreatitis patients with pain? An exploratory study. *PLoS One* 2013; 8:e55460.
44. Dimceviski G, Sami SA, Funch-Jensen P, *et al.* Pain in chronic pancreatitis: the role of reorganization in the central nervous system. *Gastroenterology* 2007; 132:1546–1556.
45. Olesen SS, Frokjaer JB, Lelic D, *et al.* Pain-associated adaptive cortical reorganisation in chronic pancreatitis. *Pancreatology* 2010; 10:742–751.
46. Xu GY, Winston JH, Shenoy M, *et al.* Enhanced excitability and suppression of A-type K⁺ current of pancreas-specific afferent neurons in a rat model of chronic pancreatitis. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2006; 291:G424–G431.
47. Petersen KK, Arendt-Nielsen L, Simonsen O, *et al.* Presurgical assessment of temporal summation of pain predicts the development of chronic postoperative pain 12 months after total knee replacement. *Pain* 2015; 156:55–61.
48. Wylde V, Palmer S, Learmonth ID, Dieppe P. The association between preoperative pain sensitisation and chronic pain after knee replacement: an exploratory study. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2013; 21:1253–1256.
49. Lundblad H, Kreicbergs A, Jansson KA. Prediction of persistent pain after total knee replacement for osteoarthritis. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2008; 90:166–171.
50. Buscher HC, van Goor H, Sweep CG, *et al.* Increased sympathetic activity in chronic pancreatitis patients is associated with hyperalgesia. *J Pain Palliat Care Pharmacother* 2010; 24:362–366.
51. Bouwense SA, Ahmed Ali U, ten Broek RP, *et al.* Altered central pain processing after pancreatic surgery for chronic pancreatitis. *Br J Surg* 2013; 100:1797–1804.
52. Buscher HC, van Goor H, Wilder-Smith OH. Effect of thoracoscopic splanchnic denervation on pain processing in chronic pancreatitis patients. *Eur J Pain* 2007; 11:437–443.
53. Olesen SS, Graversen C, Bouwense SA, *et al.* Quantitative sensory testing predicts pregabalin efficacy in painful chronic pancreatitis. *PLoS One* 2013; 8:e57963.
54. Phillips AE, Faghieh M, Kuhlmann L, *et al.* A clinically feasible method for the assessment and characterization of pain in patients with chronic pancreatitis. *Pancreatology* 2020; 20:25–34.
 This article establishes the nomogram for P-QST, detailing thresholds for normality and testing results in 122 controls and a pilot study in 60 patients with chronic pancreatitis.
55. Kuhlmann L, Olesen SS, Gronlund D, *et al.* Patient and disease characteristics associate with sensory testing results in chronic pancreatitis. *Clin J Pain* 2019; 35:786–793.
56. Faghieh MPA, Kuhlmann LF, Afghani E, *et al.* Pancreatic QST differentiates chronic pancreatitis patients into distinct pain phenotypes independent of psychiatric comorbidities. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2020. In Press.
 This cross-sectional study establishes the distribution of pain phenotypes assessed by P-QST testing in a cohort of 179 chronic pancreatitis patients.
57. Yadav D, Park WG, Fogel EL, *et al.* PROspective Evaluation of Chronic Pancreatitis for EpidEmiologic and Translational StuDies: rationale and study design for PROCEED from the consortium for the study of chronic pancreatitis, diabetes, and pancreatic cancer. *Pancreas* 2018; 47: 1229–1238.